

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,631	08/23/2006	Jong-Hyun Lee	CU-4997 WWP	2290
26530 LADAS & PA	26530 7590 08/06/2008 LADAS & PARRY LLP		EXAMINER	
224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			KIM, PAUL D	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, II	. 60604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/590.631 LEE, JONG-HYUN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Paul D. Kim 3729 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/590,631 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is a response to the restriction requirement filed on 6/17/2008.

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of Species B, claim 3 with newly submitted claims 4 and 5, in the reply filed on 6/17/2008 is acknowledged.
- 2. The non-elect claims 1 and 2 are cancelled in the reply filed on 6/17/2008.

Drawings

3. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

 This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required. Application/Control Number: 10/590,631

Art Unit: 3729

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly
indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: --A DIGITAL ALTERNATING-CURRENT GENERATING METHOD--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There are numerous phrases and clauses that are naming the claimed invention devices by other descriptive words other than what is used in the disclosure that are vague, indefinite, and/or awkwardly and confusingly worded, and therefore, are not fully understood. Further, it is extremely difficult to decipher and pin point each claimed invention devices with that of the figures and causing confusion (i.e., vague and unclear). The following are examples of such deficiencies:

As per claim 3 the phrase "making the inner and outer cross-section shapes of an iron core differ from each other, so as to make electric wire at the inner portion of a coil wound around the iron core be shorter than that of the outer portion thereof" as Application/Control Number: 10/590,631

Art Unit: 3729

recited in lines 3 and 5 renders the claim vague and indefinite. It is unclear as to what the "inner and outer cross-section shapes of an iron core differ from each other" is meant. What is the cross-section shapes of the iron core differ from each other? Where are the inner and outer cross-section shapes of an iron core indicated?

Also, the phrase "to make electric wire at the inner portion of a coil wound around the iron core be shorter than that of the outer portion thereof" renders the claim vague and indefinite. It is unclear as to what the inner and outer portions of the coil are indicated. It is also confuse how the inner portion of the coil can be shorter than outer portion. Are the electric wire same with the coil?

Also, the phrase "make the magnetism balance the speed of current" renders the claim vague and indefinite. It is unclear as to what the magnetism balance and the speed of current can be made.

Although, every applicant is entitled to make up new terminologies, as so desired, please clarify what the deficiencies are so that the examiner can clearly understand and prosecute the merits of the invention by pointing out the claimed devices in the specification and the drawings in the next communication. Applicant's full cooperation will be greatly appreciated.

No art rejections have been applied to the claim 6, since there are a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of claims. Application/Control Number: 10/590,631

Art Unit: 3729

Therefore, it would not be proper to reject such claims on the basis of prior art. See MPFP 2173.06

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4565.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 6:00 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Paul D Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729